

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT SEATTLE

THOMAS A. WEBER,

Plaintiff,

v.

TIME WARNER, INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

Case No. C05-1706L

ORDER STRIKING MOTION FOR  
PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION

This matter comes before the Court on “Plaintiff’s Adjournment of December 9, 2005 Hearing on Plaintiff’s Motion for a Preliminary Injunction” (the “Adjournment”). (Dkt. #25). On November 14, 2005, plaintiff, who is proceeding *pro se*, filed a motion for a preliminary injunction and noted the motion for consideration on December 9, 2005. Defendants responded.

Plaintiff did not file a reply in support of the motion. Instead, on December 7, 2005, plaintiff filed the Adjournment stating that he “has adjourned the evidentiary hearing in order to allow the parties to engage in either direct settlement discussions . . . or by ADR mediation . . . . If it appears that the parties cannot reach an omnibus settlement agreement on all claims against all parties then Plaintiff will re-schedule the hearing date on Plaintiff’s Motion for a Preliminary Injunction.” Adjournment at p. 1.

Because plaintiff has “adjourned” the hearing and stated that he would rather pursue

1 settlement negotiations rather than his motion for a preliminary injunction, the Court STRIKES  
2 plaintiff's motion for a preliminary injunction (Dkt. #8). Plaintiff may refile the motion if he so  
3 chooses.

4  
5 DATED this 13th day of December, 2005.

6  
7 

8 Robert S. Lasnik  
9 United States District Judge  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27